

E-Filed on 8/18/09

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996
Facsimile (702) 949-8321
Telephone (702) 949-8320

Rob Charles NV State Bar No. 006593
Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com
John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024
Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com
Marvin Ruth NV State Bar No. 10979
Email: mruth@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re:

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE
COMPANY,

USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS,
LLC,¹

USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST
DEED FUND, LLC,

USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED
FUND, LLC,²

USA SECURITIES, LLC,³
Debtors.

Affects:

- ☐ All Debtors
- ☒ USA Commercial Mortgage Company
- ☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
- ☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- ☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- ☐ USA Securities, LLC

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR¹
Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR²
Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR³

CHAPTER 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No.
BK-S-06-10725 LBR

**TENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION OF
USACM TRUST TO PROOFS OF
CLAIM BASED, IN WHOLE OR IN
PART, UPON THE INVESTMENT
IN THE BAR USA LOAN**

Date of Hearing: September 29, 2009
Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") moves this Court, pursuant to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), for an order disallowing the Proofs of Claim filed by individual investors ("Direct Lenders")

¹ This bankruptcy case was closed on September 23, 2008.

² This bankruptcy case was closed on October 12, 2007.

³ This bankruptcy case was closed on December 21, 2007.

1 against USA Commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”) to the extent such claims are
2 based upon an investment in the BarUSA Loan (the “BarUSA Loan”). This Objection is
3 supported by the Court’s record and the Declaration of Edward M. Burr in Support of
4 Omnibus Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in the BarUSA Loan
5 filed with the Court today (the “Burr Decl.”).

6 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

7 **I. BACKGROUND**

8 1. On April 13, 2006 (“Petition Date”), USA Commercial Mortgage Company
9 (“USACM”), USA Securities, LLC, USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC, USA Capital
10 Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC, and USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
11 (collectively, the “Debtors”), filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the
12 Bankruptcy Code. Debtors continued to operate their businesses, if any, as debtors-in-
13 possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtors’ post-
14 petition management of the Debtors was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of
15 Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC (“Mesirow”), who served as the Chief
16 Restructuring Officer.

17 2. USACM is a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the
18 business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans
19 primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account.

20 3. That business included the solicitation of individual investors to purchase
21 fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors,
22 totaling approximately 3,600 as of the Petition Date, are referred to as “Direct Lenders” in
23 USACM’s bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

24 4. On September 14, 2006, the Court entered its Order Setting Deadline to File
25 Proofs of Claim and Proofs of Interest [Docket No. 1280] (the “Bar Date Order”). The
26

1 Bar Date Order established 5:00 p.m., prevailing Pacific Time, on November 13, 2006, as
2 the deadline (“Bar Date”) for creditors to file proof of claims.

3 5. On September 25, 2006, Debtors served a copy of the Bar Date Order on
4 their service lists [Docket No. 1358]. All Creditors were served with a copy of the Bar
5 Date order as well [Docket No. 1358].

6 6. On November 6, 2006, a stipulation was filed and an order entered extending
7 the Bar Date for Direct Lenders only to file proofs of claim until January 13, 2007 [Docket
8 No. 1729].

9 7. On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the “Debtors’
10 Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization” as Modified Herein [Docket No.
11 2376] (the “Confirmation Order”).

12 8. As part of the Plan, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it
13 serviced to Compass Financial Partners, LLC, including the BarUSA Loan. The sale to
14 Compass Financial Partners closed on February 16, 2007.

15 9. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to USACM with respect
16 to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims.

17 10. On information and belief, Silar Advisors foreclosed on its loan(s) to
18 Compass Financial Partners in September 2008 and alleges that it has become the
19 successor servicer to the BarUSA Loan and the Direct Lenders thereto.

20 11. Under the Plan, unsecured claims of Direct Lenders against USACM are
21 classified in Class A-5. Allowed Unsecured Claims “shall receive a beneficial interest in
22 the USACM Trust, and on account of their Allowed Claim may receive a Pro Rata Share
23 of the assets of the USACM Trust after satisfaction of all Allowed unclassified Claims,
24 Allowed Class A-1, A-2, and A-3 Claims, and all post-Effective Date fees, costs, and
25 expenses of implementation of the USACM Plan for USACM and the USACM Trust.”
26

1 12. The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was
2 March 12, 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee.

3 13. **Exhibit A** attached, lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders that appear
4 to be based, in part, upon an investment in the BarUSA Loan. (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.) **Exhibit A**
5 identifies the Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the claimant's address, the total
6 amount of the claim and the total amount of the claim that appears to be related to an
7 investment in the BarUSA Loan based upon the information provided by the claimant.
8 (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.) The claims listed in **Exhibit A** are referred to hereafter as the BarUSA
9 Claims.

10 **II. JURISDICTION**

11 14. The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334
12 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core
13 proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

14 15. The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502
15 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

16 **III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY**

17 16. Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been
18 filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the
19 proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the
20 Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is "unenforceable
21 against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such
22 claim is contingent or unmatured." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b).

23 17. The USACM Trust is entitled to object to proofs of claim under 11 U.S.C.
24 § 502(a). This objection is timely under the confirmed Plan, as the deadline for such
25 objections has been extended to October 7, 2009, by this Court's orders.
26

18. A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995), *aff'd*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996). The ultimate burden of proof as to the validity of a proof of claim “remains at all times upon the claimant.” *Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc. (In re Lundell)*, 223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000).

IV. THE BARUSA LOAN DIRECT LENDERS

19. On November 24, 2003, BarUSA, LLC made and delivered to various lenders, including the Direct Lenders identified in **Exhibit A**, a Promissory Note, in an amount of \$12,580,000 (the “Note”). Through subsequent amendments, the loan amount was ultimately increased to \$15,300,000.

20. The Loan is secured by a first Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing (“Deed of Trust”) on real property and improvements thereon, as described in the Deed of Trust. The Deed of Trust was recorded on November 24, 2003 at Instrument No. 2003-0200312-0 in the Official Records of the Stanislaus County Recorder’s Office.

21. Upon information and belief, the BarUSA Loan was fully secured at the time of the loan and was fully secured at the time USACM’s servicing rights were sold to Compass Partners.

22. Upon information and belief, the property securing the BarUSA Loan had an approximate value of \$16,500,000 as of August 29, 2007 based on an appraisal by Sperry Van Ness Commercial Real Estate Advisors.

23. The Loan defaulted on November 24, 2006.

1 **V. THE OBJECTIONS**

2 24. The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim as the Direct Lenders have not been
3 damaged. Upon information and belief, the Direct Lenders are owed the following as of
4 July 1, 2009:

5 \$5,681,149 Principal

6 \$3,061,820 Accrued Interest

7 \$8,742,969 Total of above

8 Thus, even assuming the Direct Lenders are entitled to all of the above amounts, the
9 BarUSA Loan appears to have been fully secured at the time USACM sold those servicing
10 rights and fully secured at the time the BarUSA Loan was entered into.

11 25. The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim as USACM has not breached the
12 servicing contract. USACM was under no duty to foreclose on the property securing the
13 BarUSA Loan though a Notice of Default was sent to the Borrower and guarantors during
14 the pendency of the USACM bankruptcy and prior to the sale of the servicing rights to
15 Compass Financial Partners. To the extent the property's value has dropped since
16 USACM sold the servicing rights pursuant to the Plan of Reorganization, USACM is no
17 longer a party to any servicing contract and consequently has no rights, duties, or
18 obligations thereunder.

19 26. USACM did not guarantee the Direct Lenders' investment in the BarUSA
20 Loan. The Direct Lenders took a known risk by investing. Accordingly, USACM is not
21 liable for the Borrower's default or any decrease in the value of the collateral caused by
22 the downturn in the real estate market.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

VI. CONCLUSION

The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow the claims listed in **Exhibit A** to the extent those claims are based upon a Direct Lender investment in the BarUSA Loan. The USACM Trust also requests such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Dated: August 18, 2009.

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

By /s/ Marvin Ruth (#10979)

Rob Charles, NV 6593

John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)

Marvin Ruth, NV 10979

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Telephone: (702) 949-8200

Facsimile: (702) 949-8398

E-mail: mruth@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust

Copy of the foregoing and pertinent portion of Exhibit A mailed by first class postage prepaid U.S. Mail on August 18, 2009 to all parties listed on Exhibit A attached.

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

/s/ Carrie Lawrence

Carrie Lawrence